| ing Unit | Course ID | Slo Name | Assessment Type | Assessment Tool | Benchmark | $\xrightarrow{\text { Assessment Tool Assigne }}$ | Assessment Data \& Analysis | Benchmark Met | Action |  | The High uuailiy slo | visor's Approv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (epartment (STEM) - Stem | ${ }^{\text {cap } 066}$ | Computer Files | Presentation | Using a guideline and rubric (see attachment), students will be able to create a Microsoft Office PowerPoint with a minimum of 8 slides. Also, students will present their slides to their peers. | $70 \%$ of the class will score $70 \%$ or higher based on assignment guidelines and rubric. | Kumtak, Ranny |  | Benchmark Met | The benchmark was met because direction and guidelines were translated/spoke in Marshallese and students were given the option to speak in Marshallese while presenting. Also, students were given 3 class times to work on the project with the given 3 class times to work on the project with the instructor's assistance. The assistance was done in 1 on 1 with the instructor, peer to peer, and as a | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | Developing. | Approved |
| earment (sTeM) - | ${ }^{\text {cap } 066}$ | Computer files | Presentation | Using a guideline and rubric (see attachment), students will be able to create a Microsoft Office PowerPoint with a minimum of 8 slides. Also, students will present their slides to their peers. | $70 \%$ of the class will score $70 \%$ or higher based on assignment guidelines and rubric. | Moteleang, Many | 23 students in CAP 066-1 <br> 21 students in CAP 066-2 <br> 18 students in CAP 066-3 <br> Section 1 \& 3: 30 Participated and Section 2: 13 Participated. <br> The average for section $1 \& 3$ is $87 \%$ and for section 2 is | Benchmark Met | The benchmark was met because direction and guidelines were translated/spoke in Marshallese and students were given the option to speak in Marshallese while presenting. Also, students were Marshallese while presenting. Also, students were given 3 class times to work on the project with the instructor's assistance. The assistance was done in 1 on 1 with the instructor, peer to peer, and as a | No. The slo statement doess't need revision. | Developing. | ${ }^{\text {Approved }}$ |
| Department (STEM) - | rcs 101 | Enhance Documents | Skill efformance | At an introductory level, group members should perform spreadsheet skill-sets for a spreadsheet requirement of a group parameters. Group final output shall be marked and scored using a rubric. Please see the NOTES section below to view the Spreadsheet skills-set that will be assessed by reco performance of skills and by teacher observation) <br> LIST OF TOPICS $\quad{ }^{* * * *}$ (TOPIC PARAMETERS) <br> , Expense) <br> Sales, Promo, Leftovers) <br> , <br> s Statistics, Team Profile, Expenses, Awards, Prizes, <br> Rollover) <br> An Organizer of a Miss Marshall Islands Beauty Pageant ${ }^{* * *}$ (5 <br> Prizes) Prizes) <br> Campaign** <br> Owner of a fishing fleet with fish retail business ${ }^{* * *}$ (5 Boats, <br> Expenses, Income, Catch Statistics, Loans) <br> School food cafeteria business owner***(Weekly, customers, <br> , inventory, sales, expenses) <br> ticket sales, lefors, <br> , expense, loans) <br> Tattoo Business owner***(Cost of ServiciaPack, Inventory) <br> sales) | At least $70 \%$ of the count of groups that participated in the assessment will correctly spreadsheet skills-sets in both performance on record and by observation. | Vila, Curtis | Revised Assessment Plan and Assessment Results Spring 2021-CCS101 <br> The original assessment plan was revised due to a severe attendance issue this semester which started occur after the midterm period and again after the spring break week. Students who are still attending class after spring break was regrouped with no consideration of their Math and English levels which deviates from the original plan. Each of the new groups assessment plan. However, such a topic chosen by each new group was not also considered in this SLO assessment due to the possibility of attendance uncertainties beyond the spring break period. About assessment plan, I started distributing the assessment instrument with its embedded rubric, and a spreadsheet file was provided to all possible participants. These three instruments were in connection with the original SLO assessment plan as aforementioned. The new SLO assessment plan is now independent of the final project spreadsheet requirement as originally planned. <br> On the 28 and 29th day of April, while the PowerPoint was the current topic in class, and using a random sampling method via free online software. Five of the twenty-five questions as originally prepared in the assessment instrument of the original SLO assessment plan. Questions $3,5,8,17,21$ were selected. | eenchmark Not Met |  | Yes. The Slo statement needs revision. | well | Approved |
| Department (STeM) - Stem | ICs 104 | Complex Spreasheets | Objective Type <br> Exam/Quiz - In Cour | Cases and scenarios type of quiz (in Moodle) that solicits quantitative and qualitative short answers from learners. Topics provided for the final project will be used in the cases and scenarios to increase relevance and local context. The quiz will assess the levels of reasoning and logic of the learner specifically in the dimensions of: <br> DIMENSIONS-REASONING \& LOGIC <br> 1Syllogism <br> 2Disjunctive reasoning <br> 3Conditional reasoning <br> 5Conditional Inference <br> 6Interpretation of Argument <br> 7Recognition of Assumption <br> 8Estimation <br> 9Insight Problem Solving <br> 10Statistical Reasoning <br> ICS104 FINAL PROJECT GIVEN TOPICS <br> A TAXI Business <br> A student organization fund-raiser IN DELAP PARK. <br> An Organizer of RALIK-RATAK BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT. <br> An Organizer of a Miss Marshall Islands Beauty Pageant <br> A Marshall Islands COVID-19 Community Intensive Campaign <br> Owner of a fishing fleet with fish retail business <br> School food cafeteria business owner <br> An Organizer of a Music Concert at Delap Park <br> Handicraft Business owner | At least $70 \%$ of students who participate in this assessment will be able to think critically ata evel of t t least $70 \%$ or a abve the a duanced level of reasoning and logic. |  | SLO Assessment Analysis ICS104-Spring 2021 <br> -Einal Project Worksheet and Final Project Rubric-Start January 2021 <br> - Number of Discussions about logic and reasoning from January to May $6=10$ <br> - Assessment Conducted - May 11, 2021 5pm-6:30pm <br> - Number of Individual Participants (n)-16 - बumber of Groups - 7 <br> TO ELIMINATE BIASES, MOODLES BLIND MARKING OR ANONYMOUS LEARNER GRADING WAS USED TO MARK THE SUBMISSIONS USING THE RUBRIC. <br> SLO Assessment-Additional ParametersBesults Group NameActive Students in the GroupTotal \# of students in the groupGroup TopicGroup Project Completion in \% as of SLO Assessment dateVLOOKUPVLOOKUP EX HIPPO33Miss Marshall Islands65\%0000 JLJRAN33Taxi Business70\%2121 DMKF23MIMRA BUSINESS Operations80\%2121 NAVIGATORS23MIMRA-AQUARIUM AND CLAMS WARrIOR23RALIK RATAK BASKETBALL warrion TOURNAMENT75\%2222 BASICHOSPITAL SERVICES CLIENT TIME AMIGOS33MISCO WHOLESALE60\%1111 Analysis: |  | The rubric needs to be revised with emphasis on scales of well-articulated categories of the overlapping dimensions of logic and reasoning Increase practice sessions through short answer explanations of short cases parallel to the group's chosen topic. | Yes. The SLO statement needs revision. | Developing | Approved |
| artment (steM) -si | MATH 066 | Perimeter \& Area | Objective Type Exam/Quiz - In Cour |  | At least 70\% of the students who took the posttest quiz will score $70 \%$ or better. The students' average posttest score will increase by 10\% average compared to the pretest score. The observed gain score (Posttest average Pretest average) will be statistically significantly greater than zero. |  |  Quiz and 37 students took the posttest Quiz. How only 33 students who took both the pretest and posttest quizzes were included in the analysis. <br> The students' average score pretest was $45.6 \%$ which increased to $79.2 \%$ posttest indicating an average increase of $33.6 \%$. Moreover, only 9 out of 33 students (27.3\%) were proficient at pretest but this has tripled to 27 out of 33 ( $81.9 \%$ ) after the posttest. Four (4) of the six (6) students who were below the proficiency level after the intervention had grades very close to pass (3 of them scored 67\% each and 1 scored 60\%) and they also improved their grades by a minimum of 40 marks. However, 2 students scored abysmally low grades even after the intervention. Moreover, six students either had a gain score less than 10 or had a negative gain (scored less in the posttest compared to pretest). The observed GAIN score ( $\mathrm{M}=33.6, \mathrm{SD}=29.46, \mathrm{~N}=33$ ) was significantly greater than zero (mean of the posttests - mean of the pretests), paired $\mathrm{t}(32)=-6.557$, one-tail $\mathrm{p}=0.000<.05$, providing strong evidence that on average, the lecture interventions improved students performance in solving the Perimeter/ Circumference and Areas of Basic Geometric Figures The 95\% C.I. about the difference in mean GAIN scores is $(23.2,44.1)$. This indicates that the observed but also practically important. |  | Continue to provide targeted review and intervention for students in whole.erroup, smal groups, and one-on-one settings. Use the results of this SLO to develop targeted interventions in calculating areas and circumferences of circles which is the topic where students are weakest. There is also need for further interventions in multiplication and operations with decimal numbers. <br> Improve on test validity and reliability by including <br> marking guide which may reduce differences in grading among instructors. <br> Embark on targeted qualitative feedback where all <br> Instructors will collect information on students' <br> perspective of their knowledge on through questionnaires or interview guides. <br> Pursue strategies to improve tardiness and reduce <br> another workshop on how to discourage these <br> negative attributes, which are the main <br> should be encouraged. | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | Well developed | Approved |
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| SectionNumber of Enrolled StudentsNumber of Students Who Took the Final ExamPercentage of Students |  |  | equations involving mixture, money, investment and motion problems; 2)Give the assessment in a quiz rather than in the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | He Fina |  | final exam so that students can only focus on solving word problems |
|  | 19 | ${ }_{11}$ | 3)The assessment shall be e iven before Midte |
| 57.9 |  |  | Exam because most often the attendanc |
|  | 23 | 13 | students decreases atter Midterm Examination; |
|  | 22 | 16 | 4M ost of the problems siven were teken from |
| .73 |  | 16 | the topist that the hyad taken from Math 086 ike |
| 4 | 19 | ${ }^{8}$ | and fatotoring. There was an introduction of |
|  |  |  | solving rational equations also in Math 086 . Due |
| 5 35.29 | ${ }^{17}$ | 6 | this, would encourage my colleagues, the Math |
| ${ }^{35} 5$ | 15 | 9 |  |
| ${ }^{60}$ | 17 | 13 |  |


| 78.57 |
| :---: |
| Total |
| 59.59 |
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Table 1. Number of students who took the final texan


| who Tod | te final Exam (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57.9 |  | ${ }_{1}^{11}$ |
| 2 | 23 | 13 |
| 56.52 3 | 22 | 16 |
| $\stackrel{72.73}{4}$ | 19 | 8 |
| $\stackrel{42.11}{5}$ | 17 | 6 |
| $\stackrel{35.29}{6}$ | 15 | 9 |
| ${ }^{60}{ }_{7}$ | 17 | 13 |
| ${ }^{76.47}$ | 14 | ${ }_{11}$ |
|  | 146 | 86 |
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| SectionNumber of Enrolled StudentsNumber of Students Who Took the Final ExamPercentage of Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| who To | the final Exam (\%) |  |
| 1 | 19 | 11 |
| 57.9 2 | 23 | 13 |
| 56.52 |  |  |
| ${ }_{72.73}$ | 22 | 16 |
| 4 | 19 | 8 |
| ${ }_{5}$ | 17 | 6 |
| $\underset{6}{35.29}$ | 15 | 9 |
| ${ }^{60} 7$ | 17 | ${ }^{13}$ |
| 76.47 |  |  |
| ${ }_{78.57}^{8}$ |  |  |
| Total | 146 | 86 |
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| who 10 | the final Exam |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57.9 |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 13 |
| 3 | 22 | 16 |
| ${ }_{4}^{72.73}$ | 19 | 8 |
| 42.15 | 17 | 6 |
| ${ }_{35.29}$ | 17 |  |
| ${ }_{60}{ }^{6}$ | 15 | 9 |
| ${ }_{76.47}^{7}$ | 17 | 13 |
| 8 | 14 |  |
| Total | 146 | ${ }^{86}$ |
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| Table 1 shows the percentages of students who took the Final Exam. In section 1, out of 19 students ther |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  enrolled in the class and the number and percentage tudents who took the Final Exam |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1e 1 . Number of studens who took the find |  |  |
| SectionNumber of Enrolled StudentsNumber of Students Who Took the Final ExamPercentage of |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Who Took the Final Exam (\%) |  |  |
|  | 19 | 11 |
| 57.9 | 23 | 13 |
| 56.52 |  |  |
| 3 | 22 | 16 |
| ${ }_{4}^{21.73}$ | 19 | 8 |
| 42.11 |  |  |
| 5 | 17 | 6 |
| 35.29 6 | 15 | 9 |
| ${ }^{60}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{76.47}$ | 17 |  |
| 8 785 | 14 |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 59.59 \end{aligned}$ | 146 | 86 |
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| SectionNumber of Enrolled StudentsNumber of Students Who Took the Final ExamPercentage of Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| whotod | the final |  |
| 1 | 19 | 11 |
| $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 23 | 13 |
|  | 22 |  |
| ${ }_{72}$ | 22 | 16 |
| ${ }_{4}$ | 19 | ${ }^{8}$ |
| ${ }^{42.11}$ | 17 |  |
| 35.29 |  |  |
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| 76.47 8 | 14 |  |
| $\underset{\substack{78.57 \\ \text { Total }}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | 146 |  |
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| Department (SEM) - stem Mat 111 Wordid Poobems | Objective Type Exam/Quiz - In Cou | A quiz consisting of four real world problems involving <br> exponential growth and decay modeling will be administered by Math 111 instructors once Chapter 4 Exponential and Logarithmic Functions is fully covered. <br> Some examples of real world problems involving exponential <br> growth and decay modeling would be compound interest and halflife. Since money is important to most of our students, not only to our business students, it would be beneficial for our students to understand how compound interest is calculated. In addition, since many of our students do take medication such as Tylenol, is important that they know these medications do contain a halflife and will decay in our bodies. <br> Each problem should be graded from 0-5 points. The rubric is as <br> follows: <br> 5 points will be given when the student has completely shown all work and when the final answer is correct. <br> 4 points will be given when the student has shown most of the <br> work. However, makes a minor miscalculation in the final answer. <br> 3 points will be given when proper formulas are presented and when some work is attempted. <br> 2 points will be given when proper formulas are presented even if no work is shown. <br> will be given if some attempt has been made. However, <br> 0 points will be are not presented at all. <br> thil be given if the problem is left blank. | $70 \%$ of the students who take quiz will 70\% or higher | Corpuz, Michael | There were four sections of Math 111 College Algebra being taught during the Spring 2021 semester. After the quiz for Chapter 4 Exponential and Logarithmic Functions were given, the results were as follows: <br> In Section 01, it was reported that only 6 out of the 19 students still enrolled ( $32 \%$ ) were able to to take the quir. $70 \%$ or more on the quii. Thereforo, benchmark was <br> In Section 02, it was reported that only 9 out of the 15 students still enrolled ( $60 \%$ ) were able to take the quiz, Out of the 9 students, 7 students ( $79 \%$ ) scored $70 \%$ or more on the quiz. Therefore, benchmark was met. <br> In Section 03 , it was reported that 14 out of the 20 students still enrolled ( $70 \%$ ) were able to take the quir Out of the 14 students, 13 students $933 \% /$ scored $70 \%$ or more on the quiz. Therefore, benchmark was met. <br> In Section 04, it was reported that only 7 out of 13 <br> students still enrolled (54\%) were able to take the quiz Out of the 7 students who took the quiz, 6 students $(86 \%)$ scored $70 \%$ or more on the quiz. Therefore, <br> In all, there were 42 students who took the quiz, and out of the 42 students, 31 students ( $74 \%$ ) scored $70 \%$ or more. sectionefere, the benchmart was met. | Benchmark Net | For the most part, we believe that students can and No. The SLO statement doesn't need Well developed will perform if they simply attend their classes. The revision. <br> topic of exponential growth and decay which is <br> covered in Section 4.5 is not a difficult topic <br> However, if students are not attending classes for <br> Sections 4.1 through 4.4 , they will have a difficult exim understand In and in that section, the Compound Interest formulas are introduced. Section 4.2 Logarithmic Functions and Section 4.3 Properties of Logarithms are the topics to be discussed. Finally, Section 4.4 Exponential and Logarithmic Equations are to be Section 4.5 Exponential Growth and Decay quite difficult. It will take an instructor approximately two weeks to discuss these topics in class However, if students do not attend these lectures, hey will not perform well on the quiz i.e. the assessment. <br> Even though 31 out of 42 students (74\%) scored $70 \%$ or more on the quiz. We should question how the performance would be like if all 67 students question what if all 67 students took the quiz, would our current results be the same? Would we have met our benchmark? <br> Many of the students who take Math 111 College Algebra are under the impression that College Algebra is a requirement for their degree program. | ${ }^{\text {Approved }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department (STEM) - Stem math 111 World Problems | Objective Type <br> Exam/Quiz - In Cour | A quiz consisting of four real world problems involving <br> exponential growth and decay modeling will be administered by Math 111 instructors once Functions is fully covered. <br> Some examples of real world problems involving exponential <br> growth and decay modeling would be compound interest and half- <br> life. Since money is important to most of our students, not only to <br> our business students, it would be beneficial for our students to understand how compound interest is calculated. In addition, since many of our students do take medication such as Tylenol, it is important that they know these medications do contain a half life and will decay in our bodies. <br> Each problem should be graded from 0-5 points. The rubric is as follows: <br> follows: <br> 5 points will be given when the student has completely shown all <br> work and when the final answer is correct. <br> 4 points will be given when the student has shown most of the <br> work. However, makes a minor miscalculation in the final <br> answer. <br> 3 points will be given when proper formulas are presented and <br> when some work is attempted. <br> 2 points will be given when proper formulas are presented even if <br> 1 point will be given if some attempt has been made. However, <br> proper formulas are not presented at all. <br> 0 points will be given if the problem is left blank. | $70 \%$ of the students who take quiz will score 70\% or higher. | maoang, Rosalinda |  being taught during the Spring 2021 semester. Ater Functions were given, the results were as ollows: <br> In Section 01, it was reported that only 6 out of the 19 students still enrolled ( $32 \%$ ) were able to take the quiz. Out of the 6 students, only 4 students ( $67 \%$ ) scored not met. <br> In Section 02, it was reported that only 9 out of the 15 students still enrolled ( $60 \%$ ) were able to take the quiz. Out of the 9 students, 7 students ( $79 \%$ ) scored $70 \%$ or more on the quiz. Therefore, benchmark was met. <br> In Section 03, it was reported that 14 out of the 20 students still enrolled ( $70 \%$ ) were able to take the quiz. Out of the 14 students, 13 students ( $93 \%$ ) scored $70 \%$ <br> In Section 04 , it was reported that only 7 out of 13 students still enrolled ( $54 \%$ ) were able to take the quiz ( $86 \%$ ) scored $70 \%$ or more on the quiz. Therefore, benchmark was met. <br> In all, there were 42 students who took the quiz, and out of the 42 students, 31 students ( $74 \%$ ) scored $70 \%$ or more. Therefore, counting all four sections as one whole section, the benchmark was met. | Benchmark Met |  will perform if they simply attend their classes. The revision. opic of exponential growth and decay which is covered in Section 4.5 is not a difficult topic However, if students are not attending classes for ime understanding the material for Section 4.5 , in Section 4.1, Exponential Functions are discussed, and in that section, the Compound Interest formulas are introduced. Section 4.2 Logarithmic Functions and Section 4.3 Properties of Logarithms are the topics to be discussed. Finally, Section 4.4 discussed. Without these topics, students will find Section 4.5 Exponential Growth and Decay quite difficult. It will take an instructor approximately wo weeks to discuss these topics in class. However, if students do not attend these lectures, they will not perform well on the quiz i.e. the assessment. <br> Even though 31 out of 42 students ( $74 \%$ ) scored $70 \%$ or more on the quiz. We should question how he performance would be like if all 67 students ttended their classes regularly, or we can also question what if all 67 students took the quiz, have met our benchmark? <br> Many of the students who take Math 111 College Algebra are under the impression that College Algebra is a requirement for their degree program | Approved |
| Department (STEM) - Stem math | Project-Individual | A project type assessment will be used as a tool to assess SLO 1. Students will be required to collect data from their schoolmates and will be asked to create a grouped frequency distribution. (Please see related document for more details) | At least $80 \%$ of the students will be able to create a group frequency distribution from a raw data with a grade of C or better (i.e. $70 \%$ or better) | igo, Eresest Tambagan | Ount of the in it students still en en olied in in Math 160 Section 22, only 15 students $79 \% \%$ t turned in Grouped <br>  out of the 13 students still enrolled in Math 1600 Section 01, only 3 students 2380 of turned in frouped freauency Distribution project. However, out of the 13 students Distribution project. However, out of the 13 stud still enrolled, only 9 students ( $69 \%$ ) have been consistently attending since Midterm. Therefore, only 3 out of the 9 students (33\%) turned in Grouped Frequency Distribution project. Overall, 18 (56\%) turned in the project regardless of whether they ar consistently attending classes or not. <br> Based on the grading criteria (please see related document), the results are as follows <br> Timeliness. Out of the 18, 13 (72\%) were able to turn in the output on time. This means that majority were able <br> Accuracy. Accuracy in terms of calculations and analysis was also used to assess this SLO. The average notable mistake is the miscalculation of means and standard deviations. There were 4 students who miscalculated the class width and 1 miscalculated the class limits that resulted to inaccurate frequency Format. Only 6 (32\%) students got a perfect 10 in this criterion using the MLA format. Minor mistakes includ |  | M/hnn infnnt i+irnnt i+irnnumntlo, i...nt 1) First of all, since Grouped Frequency Distribution is a topic covered in Chapter 2, this project should have been given sometime during the first week of class or the second week of class. might not be an optimal time for students to learn such a topic. <br> 2)Second, some other suggested topics, rathe than asking people their ages, were topics related o social media. For example, how many friends do you have on Facebook? In addition, friends could be categorized into family members, actual friends, acquaintance, classmates, or colleagues. This may a topic many of our students are very interested in. 3)The data to be collected can be used to ontextualize problems in statistics. )Data collection can also be extended to establishing relationship between variables <br> No. The SLO statement doesn't need Well developed revision | Approved |


 and will be a sked to create a grouped frequency distribibution. and wivi be eased to create a prouped frequenc
(Plese see elalted document for more details)





 frened in the project regaradectess of of whenther they are
based on the erading criteria (please see elatated

Accuracy. Accuracy in term of elatutions


 class linits that re.
distribution table.
Format. Only $6(32 \% \%$ students gota perfect 10 in this
criterion using the MiA format. Minor mest Onterion wing the MLA format. Minor mistakes inclut



 Still enoronede, only systuwentst, (GY\%) of have been 13 students
 3 out of the 9 students 3 (33\%) turned in frouped
freauency Distributo n project. Overall, 18 ( $56 \%$ ) turned in the project reagardess of whether they a re
consistently

 the output on time. This means that majoitity were a
to get the maximum marks in this criterion.
Accuracy. Accuracy in terms of calculations and



 distribution tatle
Format. Only $6(32 \%)$ suddents got perfect 10 in this
criterion using the MiA format. Minor mistakes ind Therion Using the MLA format. Minor mistakes inclu
 1student was enrolled during the fall 2020 semester
and the other student was enoroled during the Spring 2021 semester.
All 2 students $(100 \%$ I recived $7 \% \%$ or higher on
Question $401,02,03$, and 04 which required the
 tormat ine, increasing and deceres ing int

Therefore, the Benchmark was me
1 student scored 20 out of 2 popints (100\%), and the
others student 5 screded 17 out of 22 points ( $85 \%$ ). The


Rather than subssituting the ccoordinate ofthe given
point ater the first derivative was salauluted, the Doint aterer the first derivative was alculated, the
student 5 simply substituted zero which in turn lead to a incorrects sope of the tangent ine and the normal line
Howeere, the suduent demonstrated knowedge of the


As for finding the open intervals where the polynomia
function is increasing and decereasinger rather than Writing the final answer as open intervals the studen

保

 tuduent scorere $75 \%$ and d bovev. Trenereforo the enench mark has been a chieved. This means that the students
were able to appreciate that the soi wasa vital essorrce that had many different tropene tites
themenely resource that had anyy ififerent.
chenical physical and biological.





| 2/1second, some other sugesested topics, rather |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| than asking people their ages, were tion |  |
|  |  |
| you have on facebook? In addition, friend |  |
| be cateorized into family membacuaitance clasmate or orlle |  |
|  |  |
| uainance, classmate |  |
| have produced more erojects sin |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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| Program (BUS)- - Business AA ACC 251 | Accounting Differences | Objective Type <br> Exam/Quiz - <br> Standardized | Students will take quiz, test and final exam. Demonstrate ability to record, analyze, and prepare financial statements including statements or retained earnings of corporation. Exhibit decision-making purposes. (ST) | $90 \%$ or above the Managerial Accounting rubric used to gauge the quiz, test and final exam. By doing this student will do well with all demonstration performance and strength in their knowledge to perform well. $95 \%$ of the accounting rubric. (ST) |  |  | teacher needs to revise the course Syllabus so ead of class room activity it is better if the dents can go to private or government offices class room. | Yes. The SLO statement needs revision. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (gram (BUS) - Business AA buS 101 | Reationstips | Objective Type Exam/Quiz Standardize | Written Test in Moodle. e.g., What are the three levels of management in business, describe the responsibilities for each el of management and give an example of each management level using a local company or organization here in the Marshall Islands. A detailed rubric will be provided to help guide students on how test will be assessed in terms of score points. | $70 \%$ of students will pass with an overal average score of 70\% or better. | Kendal-Lekka, Meitaka | Assessment \& Rubric: This is the first assessment for this particular SLO plan. Benchmark Met The rationale is to compare students' results/understanding of marketing concepts for Fall/Spring semesters as this SLO assessment plan is new to the instructor. As such, the instructor wants to see areas of strength, weakness, or if there need to be the particular topic of assessment. <br> The assessment method for this SLO plan was measured through a written test containing the same questions above. Keeping tests similar for both semesters is critical to help analyze fairly whether student's SLO level of understanding improved or not at the end of each semester. The test rubric consists of 9 total points ( 3 points for successfully listing the 3 levels of management, another 3 points for describing responsibilities for each, and the last 3 points for the examples). <br> Collecting Data: <br> 20 out of 20 students in BUS101 course took the written test after the chapter or topic was covered. The test was manually created by the instructor in Moodle where students individually work on written tests and submit for manual grading by the instructor. After well as an average overall score for the instructor to analyze results. Analyzing Data: <br> An average score is calculated for each student based | Not only does the instructor need to reevaluate this sto plan in Fall 2021, but also nead to take closer lookat 1 st p part of the assessment test question and find out why students failed to properly identify the different management levels. more efficiently. For example, instead of a written question/answer format, it can be in the form of a matching question. | Yes. The SLO statement needs revision. |
| Program (BUS)-Business AA BUS 106 | Determine | Objective Type <br> Exam/Quiz - In Cour | At the end of the course, students must be able to understand basic usiness math calcualions including salary calculation using straight time, time and half and double time methods. Additionally, students will have learned how to do bank reconciliations. (MB) reconciliations. (MB) | 70\% will get 70 mark in the equiz |  | 10 out of 17 students were present. A reconciliation Benchmark Not Met exercise, as well, as exercises on calculations of salaries minutes to work on the assessment. 10 out of the 10 students were not able to complete the reconciliation works. Attempts were made but still the reconciliations were all incorrect. <br> Assessment Data and Analysis <br> 1.Data Results <br> o10 out of 17 students were present. <br> o10 out of the 10 students did not meet the <br> benchmark. A quiz was given to students to work on for the entire 1 hour and 30 minutes. None of the students were able to solve the problems correctly <br> 2.Analysis <br> oThe reason why students were not able to reach may include several factors: <br> ?It is an 8 am class and attendance is pretty low. Even if students show up, they show up 30 minutes into the hour. <br> ?Because students often miss out on class, they do not work on the assignments despite numerous follow ups and a lot of leniency <br> ?Additionally, the class focused on a lot of basic math in the very beginning of the course. There were calculations on fractions and decimals. More time were spent on basic math rather on basic business math. <br> ?The subject of the SLO was mostly on reconciliation <br> and salaries calculation. More time in needed in class | Action <br> activities for hands or practical experience on the subjects 2. §pend more time on "core Business Math" topics and spend little time on the basic such as fractions and decimals. For th chapters were easy. <br> 3.Shortened the quizzes and assignments 4. Require more student's participation | Yes. The SLO statement needs revision. |
| Program (BUS)-Business AA bus 106 | Deter | Objective Type Exam/Quiz- Standardized Standardiz | Take home tests, solving problem Bank Statementr Reconciliation step by step fomm the company intormation databse. | $85 \%$ of the students are able to solve the problem all the reconciliation was done well and $95 \%$ of the students are able to get a grade above B |  | frnintwintrn+n nomin thnon The assessment was done to determine the student's understanding basics of Business Math. These include Benchmark Not Met doing a basic reconciliation of bank statement and Bank register. Additional works such as basic calculation of salaries and wages and incorporating percentages and decimal were presented for students passing with a B, at least, for the course assessment (test) given. The chapters on simple and compound interest, fractions interests are topics covered in the |  | Yes. The SLO statement needs revision. |
| Program (BUS)- Business AA BUS 106 | Solve | Skill eeformance | Students will provide a reflection of their lessons learned through interactive online games that includes business math solving problems such as bank reconciliation, applying trade discounts, invoicing, and sales taxes. | $70 \%$ of the students will achieve $70 \%$ and above on the scoring |  | 18 out of 20 students participated in the class, of the 18 Benchmark Met 5 scored above $90 \%$; 10 above $80 \%$ and the last 3 scored $75 \%$. There were 15 questions which had a tim mit of a range between 1 minute and 1.5 minutes depending on the question. Slow internet depending on |  | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. |









 market, the services and productst proposed, thes star
p costs, the operation costs and strategies that up costst the operation costs and strate eies that
fudents put in place to mititiate riskst that they





Skill Performate
Students sill demonstrate the elesonns learnt through interactive $75 \%$ of the student will achieve $70 \%$ or above
game that incuduse the history of management torganizational
enviromments and cultures, eticics and sccial responsibility,
planning and decision making, organizational stratesy, innovation
and change,

Writen Assigment
or Essay

 the est will be scored.

```
Student willddemonstratesuccessfultimemanagement skill by 7%% of the students willurite the essy will
cineting semester week plan (loctuted inimemengemenent skilis
based on each instructr's
M
M
```

No. The
revision
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| Written Assignment or Essay | Students will demonstrate successful time management skills by creating a semester week plan (located in the CMI planner) that includes class time, study time and personal time. Faculty will provide qualitative anecdotal qualitative statements from students regarding the impact of the activity, along with below). (Active)- Demiana Kumoru | $70 \%$ of the students will write the essay will earn $70 \%$ or higher based on each instructor's rubric ( this would be a related document in the mass and Process fields). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

by the instructor and take notes using the most tappopopriates style this activity
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occurring in grammar, unity or cohesion. ( $s$ s be $70 \%$ or above

Participants: 19 students from En 9 g

This was an on-the-spotessay writing assessment







 $18 / 20$ or $90 \%$ of the studed.ts worte with unity
coherene, while $14 / 20$ or $7 \%$ of of he sudents
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 score between 3.5 error sand ascorer bevween 50.-69\%
The eest of the 6 participant scored 5 and above erros with cores of $499 \%$ and below


| The students who earned ove class more often than those w |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

On e of the students swo did dor during the semester.
was absent 3 times
one was absent 10 time



|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| participated in the writing ativity in tim |  | ho have acceptee isolated skills instuction |  |  |  |
| included in the assessment. Of that uumber, 12 were |  | thrughout their early years in school as dee |  |  |  |
| successtul within the $70 \%$ or higher range. To consider |  | instruction. The 1QEE grant and the Quaity |  |  |  |
| the Benchmark as MET would have required 13 |  | Pedagogy framework (QPF) focus on पuality |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | happen for learning outcomest obe sustainable |  |  |  |
|  |  | and constructa foundation forr higher eveelt thinking and learnig. |  |  |  |
|  | Benchmark Not Me | Althouh hil daucation Dearatment faculty bring | No. The SLO Statement doest' need |  |  |
| western philissophies of education. |  | semester neededal loto fe fxplaining compared to | revision. | constructed and tied closely |  |
| The assessment tool for the sto was group work. |  | previous students that have taught. In the fiture 1 |  |  |  |
| dents were assessed on their howledge of the |  | I seek consent from some teachers in the |  | deth of nowledge and |  |
| ning philosophies that were discussed in class |  | schools to have some of their lessons videotaped |  | fictury Both qualititive |  |
| Reconstructionism, and Behavivorim, The group went |  | the students and lanalve the teacher's teaching |  | and |  |
| out and observed teachers in the classrom to see |  | methods and connect the relevant learning |  |  |  |
| ing theory the teacher was using. Groups |  | I used was |  |  |  |
| were to write a report on their observations and come to conclusions on which philosophies teachers were |  |  |  |  |  |
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Assesment Data and Analy sis



| Program (EEV)- Elementary Eaucations | Communicate <br> Algorithms | Written Assignment <br> or Essay | The Problem Solving Rubric will be the main tool for this assessment. The rubric has 4 elements that correspond to Polya's 4-step problem solving process. These are: Understanding the Problem, Devising a Plan, Carrying Out the Plan, and Looking Back. Each element is scored from 1 to 4, 1 being the lowest and 4, the highest. <br> Since SLO\#1 is about communicating algorithms in writing, the data will be the average score for the CARRYING OUT THE PLAN element that describes the algorithm or procedures used in solving the problem. This section also includes the use of appropriate representation when necessary, such as tables drawings, or patterns and making a general rule about the solution. <br> There are 10 problems sets, categorized as homework (HW) Each set contains 2 problems. Scores on the 3rd element will be averaged and becomes the score for the set. The scores on the 10 sets will further be averaged and becomes the final score. L Castano | On a rubric scale of $1-4,75 \%$ of the will attain an average score of 2.3 | Castano, Luciana | In the spring 2021 semester, 23 students registered for the course. Of this number 3 did not turn any of the HW sets. Only the remaining 20 will be included in this analysis. <br> The table below shows the score of each student <br> Student123456789101112131415 <br> 1617181920 <br> Score2.11.31.11.52.42.42.72.03.31.71.9 <br> 1.72.31.93.13.61.71.02.03.2 <br> The data above shows that 8 or $40 \%$ attained averaged scores of 2.3 or higher while 12 or $60 \%$ attained veraged scores of less than 2.3. This means that the benchmark was not met. <br> The marking system for the HW as provided in the rubric is as follows: <br> Beginner: 1 <br> Basic:2 <br> Proficient:3 <br> Exemplary: 4 <br> In analyzing the scores based on the above marking <br> system, the following results were revealed <br> 1. There were 9 in the BEGINNER level whose scores ranged from 1.0 to 1.9. Students in this level showed poor understanding of the problem that lead them to use inappropriate procedures and used representations |  | department to hire and designate one STAMP tutor <br> to help EDU 150 <br> 2. Encourage and refer students to the Writing Center tutors to help them in writing their algorithm in problem solving. problem solving <br> 3. Work a schedule for students for a tutoring appointment during office hours or any at any student. | No. The sto revison. | Depth of knowledge and level Approved of difficulty fall into the well- <br> developed range. The <br> assessment tool is <br> appropriate for the nature of <br> the SLO, and the writing is of <br> high caliber academic <br> quality. The activities reflect <br> an understanding and <br> connection to the lives of the <br> students assessed. Both <br> quantitative and qualitative <br> evidence is provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program (EDU) - Elementary ED Education AS | Earning Environments | Project-Group | This project pairs students to focus on the construction and application of QPF (Quality Pedagogy Framework) to create a positive learning environment. Students (will are asked to prepare a Social Studies QPF suitable for Grade 1 children Students are to use the IQBE learning plan template. The instructor will use the QPF rubric to assess how the student have incorporated creative yet practical ideas from (lectures, handouts, assigned readings, group projects) into their learning plans. Additionally, students are to relate how the six components of their QPF are intended to create positive learning environments during their presentation. The presentation of the QPF will be on a peer or school- based. The final write up can be eup can be | $80 \%$ of students will achieve a score of 80/100=16/20 or above | menio, Luisa | Andyisis: The evo semester (2021). The datata below shows the results of the students scores for the slo being assessed this semester. Three students did not participate in this assignment for personal reasons <br> Marks out of 20 <br> 1918161716171617171615161820 <br> 201918 | Benchmark Met | - 国 have in mind to continue to assess <br> developments and presentations of QPF as my assessment <br> tools. I intend to focus on improving the performance on this QPF presentation having the students <br> to do it over a period of seven (7) to eight (8) weeks in the first half of the semester, whereby they $\qquad$ of QPF step by step with clear demonstration to assist students to develop adeptness in the art d science of QPF | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | The assessment tool is appropriate for the SLO's depth and level of difficulty It clearly describes the evidence. It provides both data. The rubric guides the students' activities to achieve the goal of the assessment. written and clear. |
| Program (EDU) - Elementary EDU 211 Education AS | Reading-Across-theCurriculum | Project-Individual | Students will carry out an individual project which is to create a story in a Big Book format. Using their story students will develop their curriculum materials on oral language activities, phonics and word activities, and reading and writing activities. The students will use their Big Book to develop their QPF lesson plan and teach their lesson in a PSS classroom. Students will be assessed using a rubric of 100 marks. The rubric will be divided into two categories. Category 1-Organisation (Big Book, reading materials) worth 50 marks and Category 2- Lesson Presentation worth 50 marks. | (8\%) of students sill score a total of 880 out ofa | Koro, Rosie | EDU 211 curriculum he project was an individual one. Students were to reate a story in the Big Book format. Using their B Book students formulated a lesson on the QPF ate. The lesson included speaking, reading, and writing activities. Students then took their prepared QPF lesson and taught in one of the PSS classrooms. presentation and how they used it as a teaching tool to enhance students' reading and writing activities Benchmark set: $80 \%$ of the students to score a total o 80 marks out of the possible 100 marks. | 相 | Actions. <br> Building resources for our students to have when they go to teach is very important. I view the creation of the Big Book format and the activities that go with it to be very useful for our students. I hope it will inspire them to create more books in the Big Book format for their own classrooms and have their students do the same | No. The sto statement doest't need revison. | The SLO and assessment tool Approved are well-matched, appropriate in depth, and level of difficulty. Both quantitative and qualitative Guidelines and criteria for evaluating students are clear and direct. |
| Program (EDU) - Elementary Education AS | (eationship \& | Project-Group | This is a paried.students project han tocusses on the construction of an Oral Reading lesson and teaching resources sutatele for SPED and Begininin Readers. There are two parts in marking the proiect. The instructor will assess studuents on how they have <br>  experiences int their reading learning plan. The ASEE Reading Assessment tuide will be used for gradings studedents' work. The second part will 0 ssess students on onow they have intes second pars will assess suduents on how they have ineegrated best classroom management principle with evidence into the actual lesson presentation which will be school or community based | $80 \%$ of students will achieve a score of 80/100= 6/20 or better for the final result of thei project | Kamenio, Luisa | Assessment Data and Analvsis. <br> 23 students in spring semester (2021). The data below shows the results of the students' scores for the SLO being assessed this semester. One student left the class. <br> Mark out of 20 <br> 191919201819161616161918162018 <br> 19181919191919 <br> - Alll 22 students passed this assignment. | nchmark Met |  | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision |  |
| Program (EDU) - Elementary EDU 250 Education AS | $\underset{\substack{\text { Logical \& Practical } \\ \text { Methods }}}{\text { a }}$ | Written Assignment or Essay | Students in this course are required to create 3 problems that reflect both course content and any of the following: local then solve these problems using Polya's four-steps. A rubric will be used to assess this SLO. The rubric has 2 main categories, Problem and Solution. The Problem category has 4 elements, namely: Ineffective, Partially Effective, Effective, and Highly Effective. The Solution category also has 4 elements, namely: Serious Flaws, Minor Flaws, Competent Response, and Excellent Response. Both categories are rated from a low 1 to a high 4 . Points earned on both Problem and Solution will be the averaged The final points earned will be the average of the 3 problems with solutions. L. Castano) | On a scale of $1-4$, students should attain an average score of 2.5 <br> Assessment tool: | Castano, Luciana | There were 26 students that were officially registered in this course. One (1) went on a Leave of Absence due assignment. Therefore, only 24 will be included in the analysis of the results. <br> Data: <br> Student123456789101112131415 161718192021222324 <br> 52.423242 .61 .5 <br> 1.33.52.12.541.931.33 <br> The data above showed that 8 or $33 \%$ attained scores of 2.5 or higher while 14 or $67 \%$ attained scores less than 2.5. This means that the benchmark was not met. <br> The categories and corresponding points used in marking this assignment for each section are as follows: <br> PROBLEMPOINTSSOLUTION <br> neffective1Serious Flaws <br> Partially Effective2Minor Flaws <br> Effective3Competent Response <br> In analyzing the scores based on the above marking system above, the following results came out for each section: <br> Section: Problem | Benchmark Not Me | 1. This type of assignment could be done in pairs or in groups, each one focusing on one topic or reduce the number to two with the proviso that each problem will integrate at least 2 content topics. <br> 2. Make drafts mandatory and allow up to two revisions. This is in conjunction with referral to the Writing Center tutors to improve grammatical structure. <br> 3. Include in class discussions creating problems in the first four content topics integrating local practices and issues. | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | The SLO assessment is well- Approved reflects the depth of the subject matter and tool utilized for data collection relevant to students' lives. Analysis and Actions are clear and concise, and the reporting represents conventions at a high level. |







| am (LA) - Liberal Arts ENG 112 | Synthesize |  | tudents will be required to write a Cause and Effect Paper in external sources. T clusion of the external sources will require them to read <br> in their Cause and Effect paper. Students should at least use 3 reflect these required skills. <br> samples of the Cause and Effect Paper from each class will be assessed during the Norming session. A rubric will be designed to assess this SLO | or better |  | Beentmark Met | ww meet. It is suggested that: <br> More activities are done with students on how quality from quality sources <br> to paraphrase and use a avoid mechanical insertion of citation) <br> 3.Provide good samples of developed paragraphs <br> with excellent citations. Use of excellent student <br> similarly to such peers <br> .Bigorous peer editing of first drafts - will help <br> final submission of paper for grading. | revision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program (LA) - Liberal Arts ENG 112 AA | Pataphase. Summarie |  |  |  |  <br> Assessment Data and Analysis <br> A213 <br> $\mathrm{B}+17$ B 17 $\mathrm{C}+320$ <br> $\mathrm{C}+320$ C 533 <br> C 33 $\mathrm{D}+17$ D 213 Total1510 <br> Five samples of the second essay (Cause and Effect <br> Paper and Proposal Paper in this samples) that <br> students write in the semester were randomly chosen <br> rubric, $80 \%$ of the sample satisfactorily met the SLO <br> objective: "SLO 2: Paraphrase, summarize, and synthesize information drawn from a number of <br> primary and secondary research sources" <br> Of the $80 \%$ that met the objective, $27 \%$ scin this clas <br> better, while more of those who met the objective <br> quality, current and relevant sources which strongly <br> supported the ideas. Some samples showed the use of correct intext citation style, but mostly direct |  | Despite the benchmark being met, most sample were low meet. It is suggested that: 1.More activities are done with students on how to find relevant sources, and discriminate poor quality from quality sources 2.More activities on how to paraphrase and use a source to support an idea in the paragraph (to avoid mechanical insertion of citation) with excellent citations. Use of excellent studen papers as samples can $\qquad$ 4.Rigorous peer editing of first drafts - will help with students improving their paper b final submission of paper for grading. |  |
| (ram(L) -Libealatats enve21 | nalw |  | Students will write 2 creative pieces as part of the creative portfolio dates. $\qquad$ addressing the following questions: $\qquad$ <br> The assignment must be typed using size 12 font and doubled <br> spaced. -تrour story must have ONLY one main character <br> - Four story must have a minimum of ONE and maximum of 3 <br> -Four story must clearly fit on a plot graph (contains all elements <br> of a plot) <br> - Fou story must clearly include at least one type of conflict <br> The second creative piece will be students writing their individual flash fiction paper. $\qquad$ <br> 1.A meaningful title <br> Meaningful point of view <br> . Pharacter(s) -described in both direct and indirect method | 70\% of the students should get 70\% (C grade) or Bilimon, Mylast better. | Instructor: Mylast Bilimon <br> Assessment Data and Analysis <br> GradeF A440 <br> A440 B330 <br> C330 <br> C330 Total10100 <br> Ten samples were randomly chosen from the class. O the 10 samples, $100 \%$ satisfactorily met the SLO Write original work using the Of the $100 \%, 70 \%$ of the sample achieved a B grade or , while the remaining $30 \%$ attained a C grade. and could write creatively, as per criteria indicated in the rubric $\qquad$ 5 Short Story): <br> -Dut of the Short Story samples, only 1 student scored <br> an A grade, 2 B grade, and 2 C grade. |  | One of the areas for improvement seen in all 10 <br> samples was the inclusion of dialogues in the st <br> format the dialogues cor <br> focus for next semester | No. The SLO statement doesn't need <br> revisio |
| Program (LA) - Liberal Arts ENG 210 AA | Formal ssas | Temproper |  select poetic devices in a piece of poetry and discusses how thes devices are used, whether they work, and whether they meet the Students will clear examples/ quotations from explain the poetic devices with external sources to add to the analysis. They will be given a writers. The report can include any 4 of the literary devices: oSituation/Setting oTone/Theme ofigures of Speech A rubric will be used to assess this term paper. | 70\% of the students should be able to get 70\% Bilimon, Mylast (C grade) or better | Instructor: Mylast Bilimon <br> Assessment Data and Analysi <br> A360 <br> C120 <br> D120 Total5100 <br> This is a class of 30 students, however only 18 student <br> submitted their papers for this assignment. Out of the <br> 18 papers, 5 samples were rand assessment of this SLO. <br> Out of the 5 samples, 3 students ( $60 \%$ ) attained an A (high meet of SLO) while another got a C (low meet of <br> the SLO). These samples successfully analyzed and <br> discussed the poetic devices used in the selected text, <br> scored a D, which is not meeting the benchmark <br> The samples which attained an A reflected original and <br> well thought out perceptions and critical analysis of the | chmark Met | hile the students were able to pinpoint and analyze at least 4 poetic devices, the area I feel I will need to focus more on in the next semester is in-text citations. Students were also able to analyze very well their selected poems; however, they still need to work on providing evidence to support recommended area of focus |  |
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| Pro AA | Theeries To Anplication | observation | A sociological research proiect where students develop a research question and hypothesis, then choose an appropriate sociological method to answer their question. They are then tasked with a formal research paper and presenting it to the class. (Active) | $75 \%$ of the students will ask a research uestions that is appropriate for sociology and is relevant to critical issues on the present day Marshallese society. | watam, Desmond | I had a total of 29 students at the start of the semester and by the end, 4 had withdrawn, making the total for and by the end, <br> 11/25 submitted their final research papers and <br> passed. <br> 14 did not submit and failed the assignment Overall, less than $75 \%$ of the total number of students (25) did not "ask a research question that is appropriate for sociology and is relevant to critical issues in present-day Marshallese society." <br> $6 / 25$ or $24 \%$ achieved $75 \%$ and $76 \%$ did not achieve the benchmark therefore the benchmark was not achieved. $-6 / 25$ passed with $75 \%$ and over |  | t Almost all of my other faculty colleagues (about <br> 10), whom I asked IN SPRING SEMESTER 2021 about absenteeism, tardiness and general experiences, stated that this semester more than others in recent times was particularly problematic. I also experienced the same between this semester and fall 2020. I recommend very strongly that there should be a CMI response in terms of data-gathering/research (if at all possible) to find out at least 2 main reasons that students were comparatively more disengaged in spring 2021. | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program (LA) - Liberal Arts $\quad$ SOC 140 AA | Appic | obseration | There will be an in class activity revolving around reading and watching videos pertaining to the changing Health patterns of Micronesians due to colonization/imperialism. This will include short excerpt reading and several videos to be played. This will involve a formative assessment activity where students will be that they understand why Health issues in the Micronesian Region has changed due to colonization | 50-70\% of student participating pass the assignment! | ulatram, Desmond | The data reads as follows: <br> Out of 18 Students in SOC140-3 only 9 participated and were present to do the four dimensions of Listening/Reading assignment stated above $\qquad$ participation rate for this section was at $50 \%$. <br> Out of 17 Students in SOC140-1, only 8 participated and were present to do the four dimensions of Listening/Reading assignment stated above (assessment tool used). However, 100\% of the students passed the assignment scoring a $70 \%$ and above. Hence participation rate for this section was at $47 \%$ ! $\qquad$ and were present to do the four dimensions of Listening/Reading assignment stated above (assessment tool used). However, 100\% of the students passed the assignment scoring a $70 \%$ and above. Hence participation rate for this section was at $27.7 \%$ ! <br> The student responses were measured by a Rubric | S | $T$ here needs to be a wider school ffortit in addressing Chronic Absernteeism and Unproductive Presenteeism. This should be a responsibility for the entire school (e.g. CMI) and not just instructors. Chronic Absenteeism and Unproductive <br> Presenteeism should be identified as a WASC attendance taking school. <br> When I worked on the Marshall Islands High School three year review WASC report, Chronic Absenteeism was a critical issue and unproductive Presenteeism was clearly apparent. https:///issuu.com/home/published/mihs-wasc-3yr report-final-feb-8-20 <br> These habits have gotten worse at the College level where students are given more freedom and more money to spend their time discretionally. These are poor habits that have to be addressed not only by CMI but also at the community level so I'd highly recommend more radio broadcasting to name and shame our students who just come to CMI for the Pell Grant/COVID-19 package because it is not fair to the institution, its faculty/staff, the community, and most of all to our more serious students who want CMI to be the best it can be as a Model Institution to fulfill its mission. | No. The slo statement doest't need reviso. |  |
| Program (LA) - Liberal Arts SPN 101 AA | ences | Objective Type Exam Final | Students will take a midterm that tests their ability to respond to simple requests in Spanish. They will be prepared with a study guide that specifies all of the possible questions that will be asked, including specific instructions to familiarize themselves well with interrogative words: how, where, what, who, which, when, how many, and how much. The following are examples of the questions that could be asked (in Spanish): <br> 1. Where are you from? <br> 2. What time is it? | 80\% of the students who complete the final will earn 7 \%or higher. | Velasgue, Alexander |  | Benchmark Met | will do this exact SLO in the future, as it was highly successful, and it gave each student an opportunity their instructor at the end of the semester; I believe this gave students a boost of confidence, finishing the class knowing that they are able to hold a basic conversation in Spanish | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. |  |
| Program (MAR) - Marshallese MAR 120 Language Arts CCT | Archic | Objective Type Exam/Quiz - In Course | After having learnt some archaic words, students are going to define and use the given archaic words in sentences. (HL) | $70 \%$ of the students will get $70 \%$ score or higher. | ${ }^{\text {Lajar, Hermon }}$ | There were 27 students registered for this course. 16 (59\% of the registered students) took the test or participated <br> (the $59 \%$ ) students achieved a $70 \%$ score or higher <br> 8 (50\% of the 59\%) students achieved a $69 \%$ score or lower | Benchmark Not M | More time need to be given on this specific issue, then reassess the outcome with the same assessment tool. | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | ${ }^{\text {Approved }}$ |
| Program (MAR) - Marshallese MAR 120 Language Arts CCT | Use Alphabet | Objective Type Exam/Quiz - In Course | Ater having learn words from the Marshallese Alpabet, students are eging to write words fomm the Alphabet.t (Pkarben) | $80 \%$ of the students should be able to achieve a $70 \%$ score or higher. | ben, Pruter | There were 10 students registered for this course. (90\% of the registered students) took the test or participated <br> (90\% of the $90 \%$ ) students achieved a $70 \%$ score or higher. <br> 1 (10\% of the 90\%) students achieved a $69 \%$ score or wer. <br> Benchmark Met. | net | One possible reasons why the students didn't meet he benchmark is because he/she missed clas most of the | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision | Approved |
| Program (MAR) - Marshallese MAR 150 Language Arts CCT | Compositio | Written Assignment or Essay | After having learnt the composition strategies, students were assigned a writing assignment. (BHeny) | $70 \%$ of the students should be able to achieve a 70\% score or higher | neny, | There were 11 students registered for this course 9 (81.8\%) students achieved a $70 \%$ score or higher $2(18.1 \%)$ students achieved a $60 \%$ score or lower Benchmark met <br> One of the possible reasons why students met the benchmark is because they studied and also participated in the course <br> The reason why the $18.1 \%$ of the students did not meet <br> the benchmark is because not only they stopped | Benchmark Met | Giving advice on a daily basis might do the trick to help all students meet the benchmark. | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | ${ }^{\text {Approved }}$ |
| Program (MAR) - Marshallese MAR 160 Language Arts CCT | Effective Speaking | Presentation | After having written and rehearsed their speech, students will deliver their campaign speech.(HL) | $50 \%$ of the students will get a score of 70 or higher. | Lajar, Hermon | MAR 160 had only wo students asit was given as Independent Studr. $1(50 \%)$ of the students received a $70 \%$ score or higher $1(50 \%)$ of the students received a $69 \%$ score or lower Benchmark met <br> One reason why $50 \%$ of the students met the benchmarki s because e els she wrote, ereearsed, and presented hish her campaigns speech. One reason why the other $50 \%$ did not mee the |  | To better assess this specific SLO using the same assessment tool, it is wise to assess it when it runs as a full class. | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | ${ }^{\text {Approved }}$ |
| Program (MAR) - Marshallese MAR 230 Language Arts CCT | Senteres | Written Assignment or Essay | Having learnt the different part of speech, students will write grammatically correct sentences in Marshallese. | $70 \%$ of the students will get a score of 70 or higher. | Lajar, Hermon | There were 6 students officially registered to this course. <br> $2(33.3 \%)$ students received a score of 70 or higher $4(66.6 \%)$ students received a score of 69 or lower Benchmark not met | Benchmark Not Met | Making sure the all students in who registered for MAR 230, must have took MAR 120 and received a "C" or better letter grade | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | Approved |
| Program (MAR) - Marshallese MAR 240 Language Arts CCT | iterary Conventions | Project-Individual | Having learnt the parts of a story and read story examples, the students are tasked to create their original story using the Marshallese Language. (HL) | $70 \%$ of the students will get a score of 70 or higher. | ${ }^{\text {Lajar, Hermon }}$ | There were 4 students officially registered for this course. <br> (75\%) students received a score of 70 or higher (25\%) students received a score of 69 or lower Benchmark met <br> One reason why $75 \%$ of the students met the benchmark is because they were given extra time to complete their work | Benchmark Met | Make sure to give advice on how important to complete a semester and also to notify the instructor if students have plans to go off island | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | ed |
| Program (MAR) - Marshallese MAR 130 Social Studies CCT | Herit | Objective Type Exam/Quiz - In Course | Atter having learnt the land categroies and proverbs in the Marshallese uture, sudent were ivena test. (Bhenry) | 70\% of the students should be able to achievea 70 a sore | Henry, Bill | There were 120 students registered for this course. <br> 85 (70.8\%) students took the test and received a 70\% score or higher <br> 35 (29.1\%) students took the test and received a score of $69 \%$ and lower Benchmark met <br> One of the possible reasons why students met the benchmark is because they studied and also | Benchmark Met |  | No. The SLO statement doesn't need revision. | Approved |







| Program (VCARP) - Carpentry VCARP 202 Understand Framing Certificate | Collaborative Group Activity | The students will be asked to construct a scaled model and dentify floor framing members from the foundation to the floor level of a wooden 20 'x 20 ' structure blue print. They will then lable each member and with accurate interpretations using blueprint specifications. The foundation will consist of wooden posts and concrete foundation walls to show appropriate fastenings. | This assignment is worth $100 \%$ and $70 \%$ of the students are expected to score at least $70 \%$ and above. |  | Out of the 20 students who enrolled in this course 18 students ( $90 \%$ )completed the assessment . <br> Out of the 18 students the breakdown of the students who met the bench mark are as follow: <br> . 6 out of the $18(33 \%)$ earned an A <br> . 5 out of the 18 ((28\%) earned a B+ <br> . 4 out of the 18 ((22\%) earned a B <br> . 1 out of the 18 ( ( $6 \%$ ) earned a C + <br> . 2 out of the 18 (!2\%) earned a C <br> The 2 students who did not meet the benchmark failed to complete the hands on practical components due to absence (missing practical work) so their grade was based on work completed. <br> The high score achieved on this assessment likely |  | I will continue to build up the skills in blueprint interpretation, scaled measurements through linking for example VCARP Blueprint reading and VCARP Construction Math which is offered in the First semester. <br> I will also consider redesigning the assessment tools to identify the steps that are challenging for students. This could mean having a major project where all the semester course assignment requirements can be assessed. <br> Although the focus on this SLO is on interpreting blueprints it would also be interesting to develop the skill in comparing and contrasting designs that best suit RMI environment. <br> This will be very interesting in reviewing the program. | Yes. The SLO statement needs revision. | The assessment results display that the students are very good with the hands on activities. In addition, instructors may need to be creative in engaging with private and public sector for site visits. | Approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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